

#50/1

Official #2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICERECEIVED
7-8-03

Applicant and Inventor	Ho Keung, TSE.
Filing Date	07/09/98
Application Number	09/112,276
Group Art Unit	2132
Examiner	Gilberto Barron Jr.
Postal Address	P.O. Box 70492, KLN Central Post Office, Hong Kong.
H.K. Tel & FAX	(852) 8105, 1090 (852) 8105, 1091
Email	tse_2004@yahoo.com.hk

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Date : July 7, 2003

Sir,

Response to Final Action of June 17, 2003**Introductory Comments :**

Please ignore my "Response to Advisory Action of May 20, 2003" Date : Jun 19, 2003, filed by fax on June 23, 2003. I have just received the Final Office Action and would like to make amendments in accordance with the Examiner instructions therein.

The Examiner indicates in P.2 section 3 that "Applicant's arguments in the Appeal brief filed Feb 19, 2003 are persuasive". In my record my Appeal Brief should be filed in January, and another Appeal Brief (Substitute) is filed by fax on Feb 19, 2003. But as the Examiner clearly indicates in section 4 that, limitations readable on claim 1 of the Appeal Appendix are persuasive, I believe that the Appeal Brief the